

1 MICHAEL A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664)  
2 MJacobs@mofo.com  
3 ARTURO J. GONZÁLEZ (CA SBN 121490)  
AGonzalez@mofo.com  
4 ERIC A. TATE (CA SBN 178719)  
ETate@mofo.com  
5 RUDY Y. KIM (CA SBN 199426)  
RudyKim@mofo.com  
6 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
425 Market Street  
7 San Francisco, California 94105-2482  
Telephone: 415.268.7000  
Facsimile: 415.268.7522

8 KAREN L. DUNN (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
kdunn@bsfllp.com  
9 HAMISH P.M. HUME (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
hhume@bsfllp.com  
10 BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP  
1401 New York Avenue, N.W.  
11 Washington DC 20005  
Telephone: 202.237.2727  
12 Facsimile: 202.237.6131

13 Attorneys for Defendants  
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
14 and OTTOMOTTO LLC

15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
17 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

18  
19 WAYMO LLC,

Case No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

20 Plaintiff,

**UBER'S MOTION IN LIMINE  
REGARDING ITS LEGAL  
COUNSEL**

21 v.

22 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,

Trial Date: October 10, 2017

23 Defendant.

24

25

26

27

28

1       Uber will be represented at trial by Morrison & Foerster (“MoFo”) and Boies Schiller  
2 Flexner. Pursuant to this Court’s June 30, 2017 Order (Dkt. No. 784), Uber moves in limine for  
3 an order excluding any reference at trial to MoFo’s role in Uber’s acquisition of Ottomotto.

4       Uber will not be calling anyone from MoFo as a witness at trial. Moreover, Magistrate  
5 Corley has already considered and rejected Waymo’s assertion that the crime fraud exception  
6 should apply in this case. (Dkt. No. 731 at 2 [“The Court does not find that Uber retained MoFo  
7 to assist with obtaining Waymo’s trade secrets.”].) Thus, MoFo’s role in the acquisition is  
8 irrelevant to the issues in this trial and any remote relevance is substantially outweighed by the  
9 probability that the jury will be confused or that Uber will be prejudiced, because the only reason  
10 Waymo would mention MoFo’s involvement is to suggest wrongdoing by Uber’s trial counsel.

11      For these reasons, Uber requests an order excluding any reference at trial to MoFo’s role  
12 in Uber’s acquisition of Ottomotto.

13 Dated: July 7, 2017

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

14

15

By: /s/ Arturo J. González

16 Arturo J. González

17

18      Attorneys for Defendants  
19      UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
20      and OTTOMOTTO LLC

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28